Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OECD P 28542 01 OF 02 291719Z

67

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07

EA-07 FRB-03 INR-07 IO-13 NEA-10 NSAE-00 USIA-06

OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-01 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01

ERDA-05 OES-06 NRC-05 FEA-01 /101 W ----- 051713

R 291706Z SEP 76 FM USMISSION OECD PARIS TO SECSTATE WASH DC 3561

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 01 OF 02 OECD PARIS 28542

PASS: ERDA FOR SIEVERING, VANDERRYN, NEWBY AND ERB

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: OECD, ERDA, TECH

SUBJECT: US INTEREST IN NEA COORDINATING GROUP ON GAS

COOLED FAST REACTOR DEVELOPMENT

- 1. SUMMARY: MISSION REPRESENTATIVE ATTENDED NEA-GCFR COORDINATING GROUP MEETING TO EXPLORE U.S. INTEREST IN MEMBERSHIP. COORDINATING GROUP VIEWED U.S. PARTICIPATION FAVORABLY AND SUGGESTED FORMAL APPLICATION IN TIME FOR SUBMISSION TO THE NEA STEERING COMMITTEE WHICH MEETS ON OCTOBER 14, 1976. AFTER REVIEW OF THE STATUTES AND CONDITIONS OF U.S. MEMBERSHIP, MISSION SUGGESTS U.S. DELAY ENTRY INTO THE ACTIVITIES OF THIS GROUP, PENDING BROADER EXAMINATION OF IMPLICATIONS FOR POSSIBLE FUTURE GCFR WORK WITHIN IEA. END SUMMARY
- 2. THE U.S. HAVING PREVIOUSLY EXPRESSED INTEREST IN PARTICIPATING IN THE WORK OF THE NEA COORDINATING GROUP ON GAS COOLED FAST REACTOR DEVELOPMENT WAS INVITED TO ATTEND THE TENTH MEETING OF THE GROUP WHICH WAS HELD ON SEPTEMBER 16 AT OECD PARIS. PURPOSE OF MEETING WAS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OECD P 28542 01 OF 02 291719Z

TO REVIEW PROGRESS OF THE COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM OF THE

MEMBERS (CURRENTLY AUSTRIA, BELGIUM, FRG, FRANCE, JAPAN, THE NETHERLANDS, SWEDEN, SWITZERLAND AND THE UK) AND TO DISCUSS FUTURE U.S. MEMBERSHIP IN THE GROUP.

MISSION SCIENCE ADVISOR FOR ENERGY REPRESENTED THE U.S.

- 3. SUMMARIES OF THE R&D CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM WERE PRESENTED BY THE VARIOUS NATIONAL DELEGATES. THE COORDINATORS' ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT FOR 1975 WAS ALSO TABLED AND DISCUSSED. A COPY (NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION OUTSIDE OF U.S. GOVERNMENT CHANNELS) HAS BEEN POUCHED TO D. ERB, ERDA. HIGHLIGHTS OF REPORT WAS THE CONCLUSION TO CONCENTRATE ON THE GCFR PIN FUEL DESIGN AND TO ABANDON THE EFFORT ON MORE ADVANCED COATED PARTICLE AND CARBIDE FUEL DEVELOPMENT.
- 4. U.S. ACTIVITIES AND PLANS IN THE FIELD OF GCFR TECHNOLOGY WERE PRESENTED BY THE U.S. PARTICIPANT AS CALLED FOR UNDER AGENDA ITEM 6. IT WAS INDICATED THAT AN ATTEMPT WOULD BE MADE TO OBTAIN A BRIEF WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF U.S. R&D ACTIVITIES IN THE GCFR FIELD FOR USE BY THE GROUP. MISSION WOULD APPRECIATE IF THIS COULD BE SENT TO MISSION FOR TRANSMISSION TO W. HAUSSERMAN, CHEF DE DIVISION DU DEVELOPMENT DE L'ENERGIE NUCLEAIRE, NEA
- 5. REGARDING U.S. MEMBERSHIP IN THE GROUP, THE
 CHAIRMAN INDICATED THIS WOULD BE VIEWED FAVORABLY
 AND COULD BE FORMALIZED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE
 NEA STEERING COMMITTEE FOR NUCLEAR ENERGY ON
 OCTOBER 14, 1976 PROVIDED U.S. AGREEMENT TO JOIN
 IS RECEIVED IN WRITING BEFORE THAT DATE.
 6. MISSION HAS REVIEWED IMPLICATIONS OF MEMBERSHIP AND
 THE STATUTES WHICH REGULATE THE ACTIVITIES OF THE GROUP
 AND SUGGESTS FINAL ACTION NOT BE TAKEN PRIOR TO STEERING
 COMMITTEE MEETING ON OCTOBER 14 FOR TWO PRINCIPAL
 REASONS.
- 7. FIRST, U.S. MEMBERSHIP SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN THE CONTEXT OF OUR OVERALL INTERNATIONAL R&D OBJECTIVES. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 OECD P 28542 01 OF 02 291719Z

WHILE IT IS TRUE THAT A GREAT DEAL OF THE WORLD'S GCFR DEVELOPMENT IS COORDINATED BY THE NEA GROUP AND U.S. MEMBERSHIP MIGHT BE BENEFICIAL FOR THIS REASON, IT IS ALSO POSSIBLE THAT A GCFR PROGRAM MIGHT AT SOME POINT BE APPROPRIATE FOR IEA CONSIDERATION. MEMBERSHIP IN NEA GROUP MIGHT PRECLUDE SUCH DEVELOPMENT. IN THIS REGARD, MISSION BELIEVES THERE MAY BE POTENTIAL FOR A LARGE HARDWARE ORIENTED PROJECT (MISSION NOTES POTENTIAL

1977 ERDA DECISION TO PROCEED WITH PROGRAM DEFINITION OF A GCFR DEMONSTRATION PLANT) WITH FEW CURRENT INDUSTRIAL PROPRIETARY CONCERNS. MOST OF THE WORLD'S GCFR R&D EFFORT IS GOVERNMENT FUNDED, NOT WITHSTANDING THE WORK

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OECD P 28542 02 OF 02 291716Z

67

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07

EA-07 FRB-03 INR-07 IO-13 NEA-10 NSAE-00 USIA-06

OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-01 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01

OES-06 ERDA-05 NRC-05 FEA-01 /101 W ----- 051704

R 291706Z SEP 76 FM USMISSION OECD PARIS TO SECSTATE WASH DC 3562

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 02 OF 02 OECD PARIS 28542

OF THE EUROPEAN GAS BREEDER ASSOCIATION, KWU AND GULF.

8. IT CAN BE EXPECTED THAT IN ANY EVENT THE NEA WILL ATTEMPT ASSERT PRIOR CLAIM TO ALL INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS IN GCFR FIELD, AND WOULD RESIST AHY EFFORT ESTABLISH A GCFR PROJECT WITHIN IEA. U.S. ENTRY INTO NEA GROUP WOULD MAKE IT EVEN MORE DIFFICULT TO PROPOSE PROJECT FOR IEA CONTROL, IF WE EVER THOUGHT THIS ADVISABLE, SINCE FRENCH (AND PERHAPS OTHERS) UNDOUBTEDLY WOULD SAY WE WERE TRYING TO UNDERCUT NEA, AND WOULD CHARGE US WITH BAD FAITH IN JOINING NEA GROUP IN FIRST PLACE.

9. SECONDLY, THE WORDING OF A NUMBER OF THE NINE ARTICLES WHICH GOVERN THE GROUP'S ACTIONS, PRIMARILY ARTICLES 6 (MODE OF OPERATION) AND 8 (EXCHANGE OF

INFORMATION) DOES NOT APPEAR ACCEPTABLE OR AT THE LEAST REQUIRES CAREFUL EXAMINATION. ARTICLE 6 STATES AMONG OTHER THINGS THAT "EACH PARTICIPANT AGREES: (A) TO CARRY OUT WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COORDINATED PROGRAM AND TIMETABLE ADOPTED BY THE GROUP, AND (B) TO FOLLOW THE GROUP'S RECOMMENDATIONS IN RESPECT OF THE "COORDINATION LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OECD P 28542 02 OF 02 291716Z

OF WORK." THE WORDS "AGREES TO FOLLOW" WOULD APPEAR TO BE TOO BINDING ON NATIONAL GCFR PROGRAMS, ALTHOUGH THE CHAIRMAN STATED THAT IN FACT THESE CLAUSES HAVE BEEN INTERPRETED RATHER LOOSELY IN THE PAST. ARTICLE 8 IS A PATENT AGREEMENT WHICH EXPERIENCE HAS SHOWN TO REQUIRE NEGOTIATION. ON THE BASIS THAT AT A MINIMUM AN EXCHANGE OF LETTERS MUST OCCUR TO ARRIVE AT MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE WORDING, THE U.S. REPRESENTATIVE IN FACT INDICATED THAT IT WOULD BE DOUBTFUL THE U.S. COULD FORMALLY SEEK ADMISSION TO THE GROUP BY OCTOBER 14.

10. CONSIDERING POSSIBLE BILATERAL ARRANGEMENTS SUCH AS THE PROPOSED ERDA/FRG GAS REACTOR AGREEMENT, MISSION BELIEVES LOSS OF R&D INFORMATION TO THE U. S. IF WE DECIDE TO DELAY DECISION AND TAKE NO POSITION AT OCTOBER 14 MEETING WILL BE MINIMAL. MISSION WOULD APPRECIATE COMMENTS ON SUGGESTIONS IN THIS CABLE, PARTICULARLY IN REGARD TO POTENTIAL IEA COOPERATION. KATZ

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: MEMBERSHIP, MEETINGS, NUCLEAR COOPERATION PROGRAMS, NUCLEAR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 29 SEP 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: BoyleJA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976OFCDP28542

Document Number: 1976OECDP28542 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D760367-1043 From: OECD PARIS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t1976097/aaaaafzi.tel Line Count: 200 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: BoyleJA

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 27 APR 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <27 APR 2004 by CollinP0>; APPROVED <11 AUG 2004 by BoyleJA>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: US INTEREST IN NEA COORDINATING GROUP ON GAS COOLED FAST REACTOR DEVELOPMENT

TAGS: TECH, US, OECD To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006